COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4870-04

Bill No.: Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS for SB 928

Subject: Business and Commerce; Entertainment, Sports and Amusements; Motels and

Hotels: Taxation and Revenue - Sales and Use

Type: Original Date: June 4, 2010

Bill Summary: Would modify provisions of law regarding the sales tax treatment of

purchases for resale.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
General Revenue	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 4870-04

Bill No. Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS for SB 928 Page 2 of 7

June 4, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Conservation Commission	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	
Parks, and Soil and Water	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	
School District Trust	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 20121	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4870-04

Bill No. Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS for SB 928

Page 3 of 7 June 4, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Local Government	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** assume this proposal would have no impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** (DOR) assume this proposal would not have a fiscal impact on their organization, but would reduce total state revenue.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assume this proposal would not result in additional costs or savings to their organization.

L.R. No. 4870-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS for SB 928 Page 4 of 7 June 4, 2010

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

BAP officials noted that the proposal would add definitions to the sales tax statutes regarding sales for resale, and assume the proposal would have a significant impact on general and total state revenues. BAP deferred to the Department of Revenue for an estimate of the impact for those provisions.

BAP officials also noted that the proposal includes sales tax exemption provisions relating to sales and charges at shooting ranges, and stated that the provisions could reduce state and local sales tax revenues. BAP officials were not able to estimate the revenue reduction from those provisions.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** (MDC) assume this proposal could have a negative impact on MDC funds; however, MDC was not able to provide an estimate of the impact and deferred to the Department of Revenue for that estimate.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** (DNR) assume this proposal would make certain purchases for resale exempt from or excluded from sales and use tax if the subsequent sale is taxed in the state or another state, is for resale, is excluded from tax, is subject to tax but is exempt, or is exempt in another state where the subsequent sale occurs.

The proposal would clarify that operators of amusement parks and places of entertainment or recreation, including games or athletic events, must charge sales taxes on the amount of gross receipts charged for admission, but any subsequent sale of the admissions or seating accommodations would not be subject to sales tax. This proposal would also clarify that operators of hotels, motels, taverns, restaurants, drugstores, dining cars, or tourist camps must charge sales taxes on the amount of gross receipts charged for all rooms, meals, and drinks furnished at the establishment, but any subsequent sale of those same rooms, meals, and drinks would be exempt from sales tax. Other provisions would create a sales tax exemption for sales at shooting ranges and similar businesses.

Adding exemptions from sales tax would decrease the amount of funding available in the Parks and Soils Sales Tax Funds. These funds have been used for the acquisition and development, maintenance and operation of state parks and historic sites and to assist agricultural landowners through voluntary programs.

Page 5 of 7 June 4, 2010

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the City of Centralia assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Parkway School District** assumed a previous version of this proposal could affect sales tax revenues but costs cannot be estimated at this time.

Officials from **St. Louis County** assume this proposal would have an insignificant impact on their organization.

Oversight does not have information regarding transactions which would be impacted by the sales for resale provisions, nor the number or gross sales of Missouri shooting ranges. Oversight will assume that this provision would result in an unknown but significant reduction in sales tax revenue to the state General Revenue Fund, to other state funds that receive sales tax revenues, and to local governments. For fiscal note purposes, Oversight will indicate an impact in excess of \$100,000 per year to the General Revenue Fund and to local governments. Since the sales tax rates for other state funds which receive sales tax revenues are lower than for the General Revenue Fund, Oversight will indicate unknown losses for those funds. Further, since the proposal includes an emergency clause, a full year's impact would be expected for FY 2011.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
<u>Revenue reduction</u> - sales tax exemptions	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013

L.R. No. 4870-04

Bill No. Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS for SB 928 Page 6 of 7

June 4, 2010

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND			
<u>Revenue reduction</u> - sales tax exemptions	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	<u>(Unknown)</u>	(Unknown)	<u>(Unknown)</u>
PARKS, AND SOIL AND WATER FUND			
<u>Revenue reduction</u> - sales tax exemptions	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON PARKS, AND SOIL AND WATER FUND	(Unknown)	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>
SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST FUND			
<u>Revenue reduction</u> - sales tax exemptions	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST FUND	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS			
<u>Revenue reduction</u> - sales tax exemptions	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)

L.R. No. 4870-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS for SB 928 Page 7 of 7 June 4, 2010

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal would define certain types of transactions as taxable or not taxable retail sales and would create an additional category of business exempt from sales and use tax. Therefore, the proposal could have an impact to small businesses involved in the specific types of transactions addressed.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would define certain purchases made for resale as not taxable sales at retail, and would create a sales and use tax exemption for shooting ranges.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Conservation
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Revenue
St. Louis County
City of Centralia
Parkway School District

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director June 4, 2010